

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,223	02/23/2004	Thomas Maciag	536895013CT1 3032	
23973	7590 09/11/200	EXAM	EXAMINER	
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH ATTN: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP ONE LOGAN SQUARE 18TH AND CHERRY STREETS			WOODWARD, CHERIE MICHELLE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	A, PA 19103-6996	•	1647	
				DEL NASDA MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		09/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/786,223	MACIAG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Cherie M. Woodward	1647		

	Cherie M. Woodward	1047	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the second contents.	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig	136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	te extension fee iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	than three months after the mailing da	te of the final rejection, o	even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			tile issues loi
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 			(1 102 024).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 7.8 and 11-13. Claim(s) objected to: 6. Claim(s) rejected: 9-10. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wi vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t hafara or on the date of filing a N	otice of Anneal will no	at he entered
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.			
		/Gary B. Nickol/	

Continuation of 13. Other: The after-final amendment filed 23 August 2007 consists of claim amendments overcoming the rejections of record. However, the after-final amendment also contains two copies of the substitute specification (one "clean" and one "marked up") to correct parts of the specification that were objected to. The substitute specification(s) are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, 37 CFR 1.125 or MPEP 608.01. One copy of the substitute specification does not contain the appropriate mark-ups showing the changes made, in conformance with 37 CFR 1.121 and 37 CFR 1.125. Both copies of substitute specification were submitted along with substitue copies of the abstract and claims as one long document, beginning on the same pages as the text of the specification. The specification must commence on a separate sheet (see MPEP 608.01). Because the Examiner cannot enter Applicant's amendments in part, the Examiner must decline to enter them in total. Applicant is encouraged to submit one marked-up substitute specification with the text of the specification commencing on separate sheets. Unless there are changes to be made to the abstract, another copy of the abstract need not be submittied. Similarly, a "clean copy" of the claims in not required and will not be accepted. Only marked-up claims with the proper status identifiers in conformance with MPEP 608.01, 37 CFR 1.121 and 37 CFR 1.125 will be accepted.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cherie M. Woodward whose telephone number is (571) 272-3329. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am-5:30pm (EST). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Manjunath N. Rao can be reached on (571) 272-0939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CMW AU 1647